

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.enplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/594,840	05/20/2008	Masaaki Yokoyama	Q97512	3659	
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 10/15/201 TON PLLC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			KHAN, T	KHAN, TAHSEEN	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	11, DC 20057	1783			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/15/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/594,840	YOKOYAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TAHSEEN KHAN	1783		

		TARSEEN KHAN	1/83				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ldress			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATASISES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The communication of the communication	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be til vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this o ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{21\ Ju}$ This action is FINAL . $2b)$ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-16 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Applicant may not request that any objection to the Applicant may not request the set(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CF				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some co None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Event Some Some Some Some Some Some Some Some	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	M(a)						
Addiniell	rr(a)						

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Displaceure Statement(e) (FTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

5) Notice of Informal Patent Att lication 6) Other: _____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Art Unit: 1783

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

 The amendment filed on Applicant's arguments filed on 07/21/2010 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1-10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 5 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kobayashi JP_2004/071473_A (see Machine English Translation) in view of Kajiura USPN 5.907.382.
- 5. Regarding claims 1-10 and 12-13, *Kobayashi* discloses forming a **pattern** (Title) on a **substrate** whereon the substrate has a wettability variable layer (element 3 in Figures 1-6 and Abstract; corresponds to claimed forming layer (B)). *Kobayashi* further discloses that its wettability variable layer (aka "wettability -- strange -- voltinism -- the

Art Unit: 1783

layer", element 3 in Figures 1-6; corresponds to claimed forming layer (B)) can be comprised of an organic polysilane, as starting monomers, that are irradiated to form organopolysiloxanes (paragraphs 0028-0032, and 0086). Kobayashi also discloses that part of its wettability variable layer (aka "wettability -- strange -- voltinism -- the layer". element 3 in Figures 1-6; corresponds to claimed forming layer (B)) can be irradiated by UV light via a mask to form high-wettability irradiated portions (element 3A in Figures 4-6) on its layer (Abstract and paragraphs 0016, 0038, 0087). Kobayashi additionally discloses applying a solution (aka "coating liquid"; element 10 in Figure 4) comprised of a hydrophilic solvent (paragraphs 0049, 0055, and 0080), water (paragraph 0055). and polymers such as polyanilines (paragraph 0052) and polythiophenes (paragraph 0088) to form a layer (element 10' in Figures 4-7) over at least the irradiated portions. Since Kobayashi discloses having electrodes and known conductive oxides like ITO (paragraph 0068) on its substrate, it would therefore be analogous to the claimed conductive substrate (A). Lastly, Kobayashi discloses that its patterned substrate can be used in organic devices, organic transistors, organic solar cells, organic electroluminescense devices, etc. (paragraph 0004).

7. However, Kobayashi does not explicitly disclose using radiation to oxidize its starting material of organic polysilanes. Also, Kobayashi does not disclose impregnating its wettability variable layer (aka "wettability -- strange -- voltinism -- the layer", element 3 in Figures 1-6; corresponds to claimed forming layer (B)) with its conducting organic polysilanes.

Art Unit: 1783

8. Kajiura discloses irradiating a polysilane thin film which results in photo-oxidation that produces a polysiloxane thin film that is subsequently placed on a substrate (column 12, lines 43-53). Kajiura further discloses impregnating its polysilane thin film layer as well with conductive silane coupling agents (column 15, lines 44-56). Kajiura discloses using its substrate and polysilane thin film in applications such as EL displays (column 16, lines 13-29) to provide a transparent conductive substrate having a base with both heat resisting characteristic and optical characteristics. Kajiura further discloses that its invention can provide a transparent conductive substrate with a scratch resisting characteristic, an oxygen barrier characteristic, a steam barrier characteristic, and adhesion of a transparent electrode layer; wherein the substrate can be used to provide a small, thin, and light display apparatus having the above-described transparent conductive substrate (column 3, lines 9-20).

- 9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process, of *Kobayashi*, by irradiating its organic polysilane starting materials to oxidize them into organic polysiloxanes and to subsequently impregnate its conductive layer with silanols, as is exemplified by *Kajiura*. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated in doing so in order to obtain the benefits of *Kajiura*'s transparent conductive susbtrate such as its scratch resisting characteristic, an oxygen barrier characteristic, a steam barrier characteristic, and adhesion of a transparent electrode layer.
- Alternatively, Regarding claims 1-7, the processes of forming the patterned substrate disclosed in claims 1-7 are not essential to a determination of patentability of

Application/Control Number: 10/594,840

Art Unit: 1783

the composition disclosed in the claim. The patentability of product-by-process claims is based on the product itself. "[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process; determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). See MPEP 2113. The examiner respectfully submits that none of the limitations claimed in claims 1-7 by applicants impart a structural property in the end product of their claimed patterned substrate. The examiner has shown above that processes utilized by the motivated combination of, *Kobayashi* in view of *Kajiura*, implies all of the nuances of the claimed processes.

- Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi JP_2004/071473_A (see Machine English Translation) in view of Kajiura USPN 5,907,382, as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Veres
 WO 2004/013922 A2.
- 12. Regarding claim 11, Kobayashi in view of Kujiara suggests that its patterned substrate can be used to form organic devices, organic transistors, organic solar cells, organic electroluminescense devices, etc. (paragraph 0004). However, they does not disclose forming photosensors.
- Veres discloses forming a pattern on a substrate that can be used in organic electronic devices such as organic solar cells and organic photosensors (Abstract).

Art Unit: 1783

14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the patterned substrate, of *Kobayashi*, for devices such as photosensors as exemplified by *Veres*. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated in doing so due to the analogous subject matter as well as the fact that *Veres* uses its patterned substrate in organic solar cells, as does *Kobayashi*.

Response to Arguments

- 15. Applicant's arguments, filed 07/21/2010, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-13 under 35 USC 102/103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of *Kobayashi* JP_2004/071473_A (see Machine English Translation) in view of *Kajiura* USPN 5,907,382. To the extent applicable, examiner will address applicants' arguments below:
- 16. Applicants state the following in their arguments: "Further, the patterned substrate of the claimed invention has the layer (C) electrically connected to the substrate (A). This point alone distinguishes the claimed invention from Kobayashi because the latter does not have the wettability variable layer and the substrate electrically connected."
- 17. The examiner respectfully submits that Kobayashi discloses having electrodes and known conductive oxides like ITO (paragraph 0068) on its substrate, it would therefore be analogous to the claimed conductive substrate (A). Additionally, Kobayashi discloses that its patterned substrate can be used in organic devices, organic transistors, organic solar cells, organic electroluminescense devices, etc.

Art Unit: 1783

(paragraph 0004) all of which necessitate a form of electrical connection between the substrate and its corresponding layers. Thus, it would be obvious that the conductive oxides would make an electrical connection with the layers over it and hence its usage in devices such as electroluminescence devices.

CONCLUSION

- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAHSEEN KHAN whose telephone number is (571)270-1140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30am-5:30pm EST.
- 19. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Sample can be reached on (571)272-1376. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 20. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 1783

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T.N.K./ TAHSEEN N. KHAN Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1783 October 10, 2010

/David R. Sample/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1783